

(dpa)

COMMANDER, FIFTH COAST GUARD DISTRICT  
REPORT OF INSPECTION  
NO. 24-81

LORAN STATION CAROLINA BEACH  
(UNIT NAME)

05-40117  
(UNIT OPFAC NUMBER)

5 November 1981  
(DATE INSPECTED)

RA  
(OPFAC MISSIONS)

NONE  
(OTHER MISSIONS PERFORMED)

CWO4 K. D. ROSE (7 OCT 80)  
(RANK, NAME, & DATE REPORTED OF CO/OIC)

1. OVERALL EVALUATION: OUTSTANDING
2. EVALUATION SUMMARY:
  - a. Unit is able to perform its assigned missions.
  - b. Material resources are adequate in number and condition.
  - c. Financial resources are adequate in quantity and OG allocation.
  - d. Personnel resources are adequate in number, specialty and pay grade.
  - e. Unit is managing its resources effectively.
  - f. Unit is complying with applicable regulations, directives and policies.
  - g. Unit is steady as compared with last inspection.
  - h. Unit compares favorably with other similar units of this District.
3. SPECIFIC EVALUATIONS:
  - a. Command: OUTSTANDING
  - b. Personnel: OUTSTANDING
  - c. Finance and Supply: OUTSTANDING
  - d. Engineering: EXCELLENT
  - e. Operational Functions: N/A
  - f. Miscellaneous:
    - (1) Personnel Inspection: OUTSTANDING  
Rates: Officer 1 Enlisted 12
    - (2) Military Smartness: EXCELLENT
    - (3) Morale: EXCELLENT
    - (4) Personnel Stability: AVERAGE

- g. Missions: N/A  
Mission exercises were conducted: NO
- h. Operational Exercises: OUTSTANDING  
All applicable drills were conducted: YES  
Floating units gotten underway: N/A  
Any drills MARGINAL or UNSAT: NO
- i. Examination Results: SATISFACTORY
4. OTHER NOTABLE MARGINAL OR UNSATISFACTORY CONDITIONS: NONE
5. OTHER NOTABLE COMMENDABLE OR OUTSTANDING CONDITIONS: NONE
6. PROBLEMS IDENTIFIED BY CO/OIC: NONE
7. HISTORICAL DATA:
- a. Date of previous District Inspection: 27 NOV 79
- b. Date last visited by Group Representative: N/A  
Staff position of Representative: N/A
- c. Date last visited by District Representative: 7 MAY 81  
District Division Represented: ecv
- d. Date last visited by District Medical: UNKNOWN
- e. Date last visited by District Safety Office: 22 APR 81
- f. Date last visited by training team: 16 APR 81  
Source/Type Team: COCO Southeast U.S. Chain
8. INSPECTION PARTY:
- a. Name of Senior Member and staff symbols represented by other members:

CAPT M. H. SHYTLE (di)      CWO2 W. E. AMBROSE (ecv)  
CWO4 W. E. DAVIS (di)      YNC S. H. BLOXOM (di)  
CWO3 J. R. BURCKLEY (eee)

- b. Areas not inspected: NONE
- c. Group observer and his staff position: N/A

9. Two copies of each work list are enclosed for the unit. At reporting time, the corrective actions are to be entered in the space below each item in ink and one copy should be mailed to CCGD5 (di). The other copy goes into the unit file. Upon receipt of the corrective action report, CCGD5 (di) will, if further action is needed, annotate the copy received and return it to the unit to be used for the next report. The initial report of compliance shall be made to CCGD5 (di) within two months of receipt of this report. Items not yet completed shall show plans for completion including an estimated completion date. Those beyond the capability of the unit should be identified and assistance requested if needed.

  
M. H. SHYTLE  
District Inspector

- Encl: (1) Narrative Comments, 1 page  
(2) Operational Exercises, 1 page  
(3) Examination Results, 1 page  
(4) Unit Work List, 1 page



NARRATIVE COMMENTS

1. COMMAND:

- a. The Unit Organization Manual was promulgated on 1 June 1979. It is considered well written and sets forth the mission of the unit.
- b. Military Drill Without Arms was not conducted due to small allowance of personnel.
- c. No reserves augment this unit.
- d. UCMJ poster is clearly displayed in crew's recreation area.
- e. Safety program is excellent.

2. PERSONNEL:

- a. Personnel are familiar with the Coast Guard Mutual Assistance Program and the services it provides.
- b. The overall administration of the unit is outstanding. The unit maintains 12 service records with one SK2. ID cards and vehicle registration stickers are issued by MSO Wilmington. The SK2 is doing an outstanding job in maintaining the service records.
- c. Health records are being properly maintained.
- d. The Unit Punishment Book is maintained in excellent condition. A total of 4 NJP's were conducted during the past twelve months. Of the total 1 of the accused were minority personnel.

3. FINANCE AND SUPPLY:

- a. A review of the unit's personal property accountability record was conducted and no discrepancies were noted.
- b. A review of the unit's supply administration revealed no discrepancies.

4. ENGINEERING:

a. Civil Engineering: The station was in an excellent state of cleanliness and preservation. No major discrepancies were noted that were not covered by SSMRs.

b. List of SSMRs pending:

- 6067 - Storm windows.
- 8024 - Security Fence.
- 0065 - Modify head.
- 9157 - Reroof signal building
- 7287 - Replace transmitter room air conditioner.

c. Electronic Engineering: The electronic equipment was found to be in excellent condition.

6. MISCELLANEOUS:

- a. Personnel inspection was outstanding.
- b. Personnel allowance:

	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>NOW ON BOARD</u>	<u>ON BOARD LESS THAN 6 MONTHS</u>	<u>ON BOARD MORE THAN 1 YEAR</u>
Officer	1	1	0	1
Enlisted	10	12	3	6

NARRATIVE  
Enclosure (1)  
LORAN STA CAROLINA BEACH - 11/81

OPERATIONAL EXERCISES

1. Shore Station Fire Drill: OUTSTANDING

a. Conducted fire drill in galley and found the response to be outstanding. Personnel acted quickly and with good knowledge.

b. Knowledge of first aid procedures found to be excellent.

2. Shore Station Power Failure Drill: OUTSTANDING

a. Witnessed operation test of #1 and #2 emergency generators and found them to be in outstanding working order. The MK1 is to be commended.

EXAMINATION RESULTS

STANDARD EXAMINATIONS:

	<u>NUMBER OF EXAMS GIVEN</u>	<u>NUMBER OF PASSING GRADES</u>	<u>AVERAGE SCORE</u>
Military Requirements	4	2	70 SATISFACTORY
First Aid	4	4	89 EXCELLENT
Radiotelephone	4	1	69 UNSAT
NBC Warfare	4	1	62 UNSAT



UNIT WORK LIST

1. Fire hoses need to hydrostatically tested. (COMDTINST M1100.1, Chaps. 11-13)
  
2. The electronic spare parts inventory was checked. Excessive quantities of many of the spare parts were on board. In many instances, the re-order (low level) point was more than the allowed level. The ERPAL Cards should be changed to agree with the COSAL. (COMDTINST M10550.13, Sect. 5.5.9.1)